

9.16.7.1	<p>Council discussed the following applications:</p> <p>SDNP/16/02212/FUL Unit 1 Wootton Farm – Demolition of existing agricultural building and surrender of open storage yard (B8 use) and erection of 4 holiday let units – <i>objection</i> After a planning meeting attended by the applicants, council objected to the application on grounds of size/ mass.</p>	
9.16.7.2	<p>LW/16/0572 Orchard Barn Honeypt Lane Chailey East Sussex BN8 4QL Proposal: Conversion of an existing double garage into ancillary accommodation – <i>no objection</i></p>	
9.16.7.3	<p>SDNP/16/03618/FUL Warningore House Novington Lane East Chiltington BN7 3AT Conversion of barn to holiday let/B&B, including demolition and rebuilding of the existing lean-to on the south west elevation – <i>no objection</i></p>	
9.16.7.4	<p>LW/16/0695 Land South Of Chiltington House, Chiltington Lane - Creation of ponds (part retrospective), provision of buildings and a supervisory dwelling to service a fish farm. Cllr.Fleming explained the role of the parish council in planning matters and explained that any decision made at the council meeting may differ in the wording used in the response to LDC but the decision would not alter. He explained that the ECPC would not be making the final decision on the application as this would be LDC's planning committee. Council was asked to consider the following points: Traffic. The initial application was for the site to be open to visitors but the applicant states that the current application is not open to visitors so there would be less traffic impact. Cllr.Funnell said that in the short term traffic to prepare the site would be heavy. Cllr.Smith asked how many times each year the caviar was harvested. The applicant said this is just once every two years. He estimated 3-4 journeys every 6 months to transport the caviare. He added that no soil would be moved onto or off the site. Council agreed that they had no objection on traffic grounds. Temporary Home (3 years): Cllr.Fleming said permission could be granted if there was an essential need to be on site to service the business. Cllr.Tingle asked how viable it would be to monitor the site remotely. Cllr.Smith said there are many businesses that do not need a dwelling on site. Cllr.Funnell said someone may need to be on site if there is livestock. Cllr.Fleming asked if council felt the temporary home was a major factor within the application. Cllr.Smith suggested a local person could be employed as security. Associated buildings: Council felt these would be needed if the development was approved. Pollution/environment: The reports submitted with the application indicate that pollution would not be an issue, they also state that no trees will be affected and there will be no major effect to wildlife. Cllr.Tingle said no analysis had been given on the effect of the bunds and such extensive earthworks must impact on the landscape. Noise/odour: The reports gave low noise levels for the underground pumps and no noticeable odour. Flooding: There was concern that with the ponds on a hill, flooding could be a problem. Cllr.Funnell said the Winterbourne rises very quickly and 5 ponds have to overflow somewhere, she had grave concerns over flooding. Cllr.Harrison also had concerns. Abstraction from the stream: Council had sought independent advice from an expert in hydrology. There were concerns because measurements used in the applicants reports were taken in March when the Winterbourne is in full flood. Council felt that abstraction of 20 cubic metres per day was problematic. The stream is dry in the summer and it would take a very long time to fill the ponds through rainfall. Cllr.Fleming felt it unacceptable to take water from the Winterbourne because there could be no way to know the condition of the stream in the future. He would object on those grounds. All the councillors felt strongly about this aspect of the application. Landscape/scale/density: LDC core policy 10 states that planners must take account of an application's effect on the countryside and landscape. Cllr.Fleming said the proposed farm would be sandwiched between 3 houses in an area of residential/agricultural use. Cllr.Funnell and Tingle felt the scale of the application was too great. Cllr.Smith said it could be seen as an asset if it were not for its detriment to the community and the environment. From a landscape point of view he felt the application was not suitable and just because you own an asset and can do something doesn't mean you should. Cllr.Fleming read out LDC core policy 10 and said the application fails to enhance the landscape and fails to meet that policy. Cllr.Clarke thought re-instatement was an issue but said LDC cannot impose a condition to guarantee re-instatement. He felt the impact on the landscape was a major concern. Cllr.Fleming summed up: Council have fundamental concerns that the application is contrary to LDC core policy 10 and will object on landscape grounds. There are serious concerns about water abstraction and some concerns about the temporary dwelling and danger of flooding. He said it appears the site could be run without any need to abstract water.</p>	
9.16.7.5	<p>Council noted decisions made by LDC: LW/16/0328 Mount Pleasant, Highbridge Lane. Provision of new crossover – <i>granted</i> LW/16/0572 Orchard Barn Honeypt Lane Chailey East Sussex BN8 4QL Proposal: Conversion of an existing double garage into ancillary accommodation – <i>granted</i></p>	

09/16/04	<p>Minutes of the parish council meeting held on 7th July 2016 were agreed and signed as a true record</p> <p>Minutes of the planning committee meeting held on 11th Aug.16 were agreed and signed as a true record</p>	
09/16/05	<p>Matters arising from last meeting Min.no.07/16/02: LDC have said they will publish a new report on changes to waste collection in September</p>	
9.16.6	<p>Financial matters</p>	
9.16.6.1	<p>Council approved payment of the following invoices:</p> <p>*Cheque No: 922 £200.00 Springett & Campion trust. Grant s.137</p> <p>*Cheque No: 923 Spoilt</p> <p>*Cheque No: 924 £120.00 Chair – Marquees</p> <p>*Cheque No: 925 £455.31 Clerk salary/expenses July</p> <p>*Cheque No: 926 £5.00 S.Israel. Money for charcoal. Village day funds</p> <p>*Cheque No: 927 £60.00 Environmental Law Foundation subscription</p> <p>*Cheque No: 928 £108.00 Beechwood Hall and rural park. Room hire</p> <p>*Cheque No: 929 £345.13 Clerk salary/expenses August</p> <p>*Cheque No: 930 £500.00 Joseph Newbigin. Professional fees -(from ECAG grant)</p>	
9.16.6.2	<p>Council noted the current financial situation. Chair noted that the income of £474 from the Village day was mostly due to bar takings and thanked Chris Symes for his hard work.</p>	
9.16.6.3	<p>Council considered what precept may be required 2017/18 and agreed that a rise of 2% which would bring in less than an extra £100 would be wise.</p>	
9.16.6.4	<p>Council considered a subscription to CPRE - £36.00 and this was agreed by all</p>	
9.16.6.5	<p>Council considered a subscription to Open spaces but decided not to subscribe again.</p>	
9.16.8	<p>Report from The East Chiltington Trust – Cllr.Fleming</p> <p>The trust met on 6th September. Jo White will take the chair after Christmas following the resignation of Anna Hardy. Resident Josie Peach had contacted the parish council with regard to becoming a trustee and if approved by the council would join the TECT trustees.</p> <p>There was still no update on community use of the church</p> <p>Cllr.Fleming asked if the community website could be updated as it would make posting of info and pictures much quicker and easier together with the public's navigation of the site..</p> <p>TECT gets most of its income from the single farm payment scheme which ends in 2020 and from the annual quiz. Fundraising may have to be considered in the future. Cllr Tingle suggested that a residents Safari Supper, and a quiz at six monthly intervals instead of annual, might be considered as fund raising ideas.</p> <p>There will be an apple pressing and harvest supper on October 15th</p>	
09/16/09	<p>Any reports on current issues and meetings attended by councillors or the clerk</p> <p>Waste & minerals, Highways : Nothing to report</p> <p>LDALC: Cllr.Tingle and the clerk will attend the next meeting September 12th.</p> <p>Finance: Nothing to report</p> <p>Footpaths, Rights of Way: vacant</p> <p>Springett & Campion trust: Cllr.Fleming said the trust was very grateful for the grant provided by the parish council. Funds had also been raised by Cllr.Fleming who completed a sponsored long distance road trip in aid of the trust. Unfortunately the new trustee has had to step down but another potential trustee will be approached.</p> <p>SDNP: Nothing to report</p>	
10.16.10	<p>Council noted all correspondence received since last meeting.</p> <p>Council discussed the offer from EE to investigate the mobile phone signal but declined.</p> <p>Council discussed a request to promote a ban on pesticides through the parish website. The council has replied to say that residents registered on the site are able to flag up any items of interest through the parish noticeboard facility but that it was not an issue the PC felt they could take a stand on as a council.</p> <p>A resident contacted the PC with concerns about the future use of Novington sandpit. They ask if it could be listed as a parish asset but the council felt that was not possible as there is no public access to the site so it would not meet the criteria. Sites may be listed (even with no</p>	clerk

